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#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce the total specialization of an finitely generated module over local ring. This total specialization preserves the Cohen-Macaulayness, the Gorensteiness and Buchsbaumness of a module. The length and multiplicity of a module are studied.


## 1. Introduction

Given an object defined for a family of parameters $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)$ we can often substitute $u$ by a family $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)$ of elements of an infinite field $K$ to obtain a similar object which is called a specialization. The new object usually behaves like the given object for almost all $\alpha$, that is, for all $\alpha$ except perhaps those lying on a proper algebraic subvariety of $K^{m}$. Though specialization is a classical method in Algebraic Geometry, there is no systematic theory for what can be "specialized".

The first step toward an algebraic theory of specialization was the introduction of the specialization of an ideal by W . Krull in |1|. Given an ideal $I$ in a polynomial ring $K=k(u)|x|$, where $k$ is a subficld of $K$, he defined the specialization of $I$ as the ideal

$$
I_{\alpha}=\{f(\alpha, x)|f(u, X) \in I \cap k| u, x \mid\}
$$

of the polynomial ring $R_{\alpha}=k(\alpha)|x|$. For almost all $\alpha \in K^{m}, I_{\alpha}$ inherits most of the basic properties of $I$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{u}$ be a separable prime ideal of $R$. In [2], we introduced and studied the specializations of finitely generated modules over a local ring $R_{p_{u}}$ at an arbitrary associated prime ideal of $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}$ (For specialization of modules, see [3|). Now, we will introduce the notation about the total specializations of modules. We showed that the Cohen-Macaulayness, the Gorensteiness and Buchsbaumness of a module are preserved by the total specializations.

## 2. Specializations of prime separable ideals

Let $\mathfrak{p}_{u}$ be an arbitrary prime ideal of $R$. The first obstacle in defining the specialization of $R_{\mathfrak{p}_{u}}$ is that the specialization $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}$ of $\mathfrak{p}_{u}$ need not to be a prime ideal. By $[1], \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ is an unmixed ideal of $R_{\alpha}$.

[^0]Assume that $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{p}_{u}=d$ and $(\xi)$ is a generic point of $\mathfrak{p}_{u}$ over $k$. Without loss of gencrality. we may suppose that this is normalised so that $\xi_{0}=1$. Denote by $(v)=\left(v_{i j}\right)$ with $i=0,1, \ldots, d$, $j=1, \ldots, n$, a system of $(d+1) n$ new indeterminates $v_{i j}$, which are algebraically independent over $k\left(u, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$. We enlarge $k(u)$ by adjoining $(v)$. We form $d+1$ linear forms

$$
y_{i}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{i j} x_{j}, i=0,1, \ldots, d
$$

Then $\mathfrak{p} k(u, v)|x| \cap k(u, v)\left[y \mid=\left(f\left(u, v ; y_{0}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)\right)\right.$ is a principal ideal. We put $\lambda_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} v_{i j} \xi_{j}$ with $i=0,1, \ldots, d$. Then $\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}$ satisfies $f\left(u, v ; \lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}\right)=0$ and is called the ground-form of $\mathfrak{p}_{u}$. The prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{u}$ is called a separable prime ideal if it's ground-form is a separable polynomial. We have the following lemma:
Lemma 2.1.[1, Satz 14] A specialization of a prime separable ideal is an intersection of a finite prime ideals for almost all $\alpha$.

Let the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{u}$ be separable. Assume that $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ and set $T=\bigcap_{i=1}^{s}\left(R_{\alpha} \backslash \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)$.
Lemma 2.2. For almost all $\alpha$, we have $\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}$ is a semi-local ring.
Proof. Note that $T$ is a multiplicative subset of $R_{\alpha}$. We show that $\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}$ is a semi-local ring. Indeed, let m be a maximal ideal of $\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}$. Then, there is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of $R_{\alpha}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{q}\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{p}_{1}\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}, \mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{p}_{1}\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}$. We have $\mathfrak{q} \supset \mathfrak{p}_{1}, \mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}_{1}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{q}\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}$ is a maximal ideal, $\mathfrak{q} \cap T=\emptyset$. Hence $\mathfrak{q} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{p}_{i}$. Therefore, it exists $j$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{j}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_{1} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{j}$, contradiction. Hence $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{p}_{1}\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}$.

The natural candidate for the total specialization of $R_{\mathfrak{p}_{u}}$ is the semi-local ring $\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}$.
Definition We call $\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}$ a total specialization of $R_{p_{w}}$ with respect to $\alpha$. For short we will put $S=R_{\mathfrak{p}_{\boldsymbol{u}}}, S_{\alpha}=\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $S_{T}=\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is one of the $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$. Then there is $\left(S_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}=S_{\alpha}$.

## 3. The total specialization of $R_{\mathfrak{p}_{u}}$-modules

Let $f$ be an arbitrary element of $R$. We may write $f=p(u, x) / q(u), p(u, x) \in k \mid u, x], q(u) \in$ $k[u] \backslash\{0\}$. For any $\alpha$ such that $q(\alpha) \neq 0$ we define $f_{\alpha}:=p(\alpha, x) / q(\alpha)$. It is easy to check that this element does not depend on the choice of $p(u, x)$ and $q(u)$ for almost all $\alpha$. Now, for cevery fraction $a=f / g, f, g \in R, g \neq 0$, we define $a_{\alpha}:=f_{\alpha} / g_{\alpha}$ if $g_{\alpha} \neq 0$. Then $a_{\alpha}$ is uniquely determined for almost all $\alpha$.

The following lemma shows that the above definition of $S_{T}$ reflects the intrinsic substitution $u \rightarrow \alpha$ of elements of $R$.
Lemma 3.1. Let a be an arbitrary element of $S$. Then $a_{\alpha} \in S_{T}$ for almost all $\alpha$.
Proof. Since $\mathfrak{p}_{u}$ is a separable prime ideal of $R, \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha} \neq R_{\alpha}$ for almost all $\alpha$. Let $a=f / g$ with $f, g \in R$, $g \notin \mathfrak{p}_{u}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime, $\mathfrak{p}_{u}: g=\mathfrak{p}_{u}$. By $[1$, Satz 3$], \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}=\left(\mathfrak{p}_{u}: g\right)_{\alpha}=\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}: g_{\alpha}$. Hence $g_{\alpha} \in T$. Then $a_{\alpha} \in S_{\alpha}$ for almost all $\alpha$.

First we want to recall the definition of specialization of finitely generated $S$-module by $|2|$. Let $F, G$ be finitely generated free $S$-modules. Let $\phi: F \rightarrow G$ be an arbitrary homomorphism of free $S$-modules of finite ranks. With fixed bases of $F$ and $G, \phi$ is given by a matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), a_{i j} \in S$.

By Lemma 3.1, the matrix $\Lambda_{\alpha}:=\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)_{\alpha}\right)$ has all its entries in $\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{p}$ for almost all $\alpha$. Let $F_{\alpha}$ and $G_{\alpha}$ be frue $\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{p}$-modules of the same rank as $F$ and $G$, respectively.
Definition. [2] For fixed bases of $F_{\alpha}$ and $G_{\alpha}$, the homomorphism $\phi_{\alpha}: F_{\alpha} \rightarrow G_{\alpha}$ given by the matrix $A_{\alpha}$ is called the specialization of $\phi$ with respect to $\alpha$.

The definition of $\phi_{\alpha}$ does not depend on the choice of the bases of $F, G$ in the sense that if $B$ is the matrix of $\phi$ with respect to other bases of $F, G$, then there are bases of $F_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}$ such that $B_{\alpha}$ is the matrix of $\phi_{\alpha}$ with respect to these bases.
Definition. |2| Let $L$ be a finitely generated $S$-module and $F_{1} \xrightarrow{\phi} F_{0} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ a finite free presentation of $L$. The $\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{p}$-module $L_{\alpha}:=\operatorname{Coker} \phi_{\alpha}$ is called a specialization of $L$ (with respect to $\phi$ )

Then, we have the following results.
Lemma 3.2. |2, Theorem 2.2| Let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of finitely generated $S$-modules. Then $0 \rightarrow L_{\alpha} \rightarrow M_{\alpha} \rightarrow N_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ is exact for almost all $\alpha$.
Lemma 3.3. $\mid 2$, Theorem 2.6| Let $L$ be a finitely generated $S$-module. Then, for almost all $\alpha$, we have
(i) $(\operatorname{Ann} L)_{\alpha}=\operatorname{Ann}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)$.
(ii) $\operatorname{dim} L=\operatorname{dim} L_{\mathbf{\alpha}}$.

Lemma 3.4. [2, Theorem 3.1] Let $L$ be a finitely generated $S$-module. Then, for almost all $\alpha$, we have
(j) $\operatorname{proj} L_{\alpha}=\operatorname{proj} L$.
(ii) depth $L_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\operatorname{depth} L$.

Now we will define the total specialization of an arbitrary finitely generated $S$-module as follows. As above, the matrix $A_{\alpha}:=\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)_{\alpha}\right)$ has all its entries in $S_{T}$ for almost all $\alpha$. Let $F_{T}$ and $G_{T}$ be free $S_{T}$-nodules of the same rank as $F$ and $G$, respectively, and $B_{\alpha}$ is the matrix of $\phi_{T}$ with respect to these bases.
Definition. Let $L$ be a finitely generated $S$-module and $F_{1} \xrightarrow{\phi} F_{0} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ a finite free presentation of $L$. The $S_{T}$-module $L_{T}:=\operatorname{Coker} \phi_{T}$ is called a total specialization of $L$ (with respect to $\phi$ ). The module $L_{T}$ depends on the chosen presentation of $L$, but $L_{T}$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphisms. Hence the finite free presentation of $L$ will be chosen in the form $S^{s} \xrightarrow{\phi} S^{r} \rightarrow$ $L \rightarrow 0$.
Lenma 3.5. Let $L$ be a finitely generated $S$-module. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}_{1}$. Then $\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{1 T}} \cong L_{\alpha}$ for almost all $\alpha$.
Proyf. Let $S^{s} \xrightarrow{\phi} S^{r} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be a finite free presentation of $L_{,}$There exists an exact sequence $\left(R_{c}\right)_{T}^{s} \xrightarrow{\phi_{T}}\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}^{r} \rightarrow L_{T} \rightarrow 0$. This will induces also an exact sequence $\left|\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}\right|_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}^{s} \xrightarrow{\left.\phi_{T}\right|_{\boldsymbol{p}}}\left|\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}\right|_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}^{r} \rightarrow$ $\left|L_{T}\right|_{p^{p} T} \rightarrow 0$. By an casy computation $A_{\alpha}=\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)_{\alpha}\right)=\left(\frac{\left(f_{i j}\right)_{\alpha} / 1}{\left(g_{i j}\right)_{\alpha} / 1}\right)$, it follows that $\left(\phi_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}=\phi_{\alpha}$. Since $\left|\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{T}\right|_{p_{T}} \cong\left(R_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=S_{\alpha}$, we have a commutative diagram

where to rows are finite free presentations of $\left|L_{T}\right|_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}$ and $L_{\alpha}$, and an isomorphism $\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}} \rightarrow L_{\alpha}$. Hence $\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}} \cong L_{\alpha}$ for almost all $\alpha$.
Proposition 3.6. Let $L$ be a finitely generated $S$-module. For almost all $\alpha$, we have
(i) $(\operatorname{Ann} L)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\operatorname{Ann}\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}$.
(ii) $\operatorname{dim} L=\operatorname{dim} L_{T}$.

Proof. (i) Since $\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}} \cong L_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ by Lemma 3.5, there is $\operatorname{Ann}\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}=\operatorname{Ann}\left(\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}\right)=\operatorname{Ann}\left(L_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{Ann}(L)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\operatorname{Ann}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)$ by Lemma 3.3, therefore $\operatorname{Ann}(L)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\operatorname{Ann}\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}$ for almost all $\alpha$.
(ii) We have $\operatorname{dim} L=\operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}$ by Lemma 3.3. Then $\operatorname{dim} L=\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}$. Semilarly, $\operatorname{dim} L=$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{i} T}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} L=\operatorname{dim} L_{T}$ for almost all $\alpha$.
Theorem 3.7. Let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of finitely generated $S$-modules. Then $0 \rightarrow L_{T} \rightarrow M_{T} \rightarrow N_{T} \rightarrow 0$ is exact for almost all $\alpha$.
Proof. Since $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, the sequence $0 \rightarrow L_{\alpha} \rightarrow M \alpha \rightarrow N_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ is also exact by Lemma 3.2, or the sequence $0 \rightarrow\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}} \rightarrow\left(M_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}} \rightarrow\left(N_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}} \rightarrow 0$ is exact for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{T}$. Hence $0 \rightarrow L_{T} \rightarrow M_{T} \rightarrow N_{T} \rightarrow 0$ is exact for almost all $\alpha$.
Proposition 3.8. Let $L$ be a finitely generated $S$-module. For almost all $\alpha$, we have
(i) $\operatorname{proj} L=\operatorname{proj} L_{T}$,
(ii) $\operatorname{depth} L=\operatorname{depth} L_{T}$.

Proof. (i) Since $\operatorname{proj} L=\operatorname{proj} L_{\alpha}$ for almost all $\alpha$ by Lemma 3.4, there is $\operatorname{proj} L_{T}=\sup$ $\mathrm{m} \in \sup \left(S_{T}\right)$ $\left\{\operatorname{proj}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}=\operatorname{proj} L_{\alpha}=\operatorname{proj} L$.
(ii) By $\left[4\right.$, Lemma 18.1], there is a maximal ideal $m$ of $S_{T}$ such that depth $L_{T}=\operatorname{depth}\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}=$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}$. Then $\operatorname{depth} L_{T}=\operatorname{depth} L_{\alpha}=\operatorname{depth} L$ by Lemma 3.4.
Proposition 3.9. Let $L$ be a $S$-module of finite length. Then $L_{T}$ is a $S_{T}$-module of finite length for almost all $\alpha$. Moreover, $\ell\left(L_{T}\right)=\operatorname{s\ell (L).~}$
Proof. Since $\ell\left(L_{\alpha}\right)=\ell(L)$ by [2, Proposition 2.8] and $\ell\left(L_{T}\right)=\sum_{m \in \sum\left(R_{T}\right)} \ell\left(\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ by [5, 3 . Theorem 12], there is $\ell\left(L_{T}\right)=s \ell(L)$.
Proposition 3.10. Let $L$ be a finitely generated $S$-module of dimension $d$ and $\mathfrak{q}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) S$ a parameter ideal on $L$. Then, we have $e\left(\mathfrak{q}_{T}, L_{T}\right)=\operatorname{se}(\mathfrak{q}, L)$ for almost all $\alpha$, where $e\left(\mathfrak{q}_{T}, L_{T}\right)$ and $e(\mathfrak{q}, L)$ are the multiplicities of $L_{T}$ and $L$ with respect to $\mathfrak{q}_{T}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$, respectively.
Proof. First, we will to show that $e\left(\mathfrak{q}_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha}\right)=e(\mathfrak{q}, L)$. Indeed, Since $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d} \in \mathfrak{p} S$, for almost all $\alpha$ there are $\left(a_{1}\right)_{\alpha}, \ldots,\left(a_{d}\right)_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$. By Lemma 3.2 and by Lemma 3.3, $\operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha} /\left(\left(a_{1}\right)_{\alpha}, \ldots,\left(a_{d}\right)_{\alpha}\right)$ $L_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\operatorname{dim} L /\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) L=0$. Then $\left(a_{1}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \ldots,\left(a_{d}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is a system of parameters on $L_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$. The multiplicity symbol of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}$ with respect to $L$ will be denoted by $e\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d} \mid L\right)$, and the multiplicity symbol of $\left(a_{1}\right)_{\alpha}, \ldots,\left(a_{d}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ with respect to $L \alpha$ by $e\left(\left(a_{1}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \ldots,\left(a_{d}\right)_{\alpha} \mid L_{\alpha}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e\left(\mathfrak{q}_{\alpha} ; L_{\alpha}\right) & =e\left(\left(a_{1}\right)_{\alpha}, \ldots,\left(a_{d}\right)_{\alpha} \mid L_{\alpha}\right) \\
e(\mathfrak{q} ; L) & =e\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d} \mid L\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We need only show that $e\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d} \mid L\right)=e\left(\left(a_{1}\right)_{\alpha}, \ldots,\left(a_{d}\right)_{\alpha} \mid L_{\alpha}\right)$. This claim will be proved by induction on $d$. For $d=0$, by applying [2, Proposition 2.8], there is

$$
e\left(\emptyset \mid L_{\alpha}\right)=\ell\left(L_{\alpha}\right)=\ell(L)=e(\emptyset \mid L)
$$

Now we assume that $d \geq 1$, and the claim is true for all $S$-modules with the dimension $\leq d-1$. By [2, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5], there are

$$
L_{\alpha} /\left(a_{1}\right)_{\alpha} L_{\alpha} \cong\left(L / a_{1} L\right)_{\alpha} \text { and } 0_{L_{\alpha}}:\left(a_{1}\right)_{\alpha} \cong\left(0_{L}: a_{1}\right)_{\alpha}
$$

Since the dimensions of these modules $\leq d-1$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
e\left(\left(a_{2}\right)_{\alpha}, \ldots,\left(a_{d}\right)_{\alpha} \mid L_{\alpha} /\left(a_{1}\right)_{\alpha} L_{\alpha}\right) & =e\left(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{d} \mid L / a_{1} L\right) \\
e\left(\left(a_{2}\right)_{\alpha}, \ldots,\left(a_{d}\right)_{\alpha} \mid 0_{L_{\alpha}}:\left(a_{1}\right)_{\alpha}\right) & =e\left(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{d} \mid 0_{L}: a_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The statment follows from the definition of the multiplicity.
Now we prove the result $e\left(\mathfrak{q}_{T}, L_{T}\right)=\operatorname{se}(\mathfrak{q}, L)$ Since

$$
e\left(\mathfrak{q}_{T}, L_{T}\right)=e\left(\left(a_{1}\right)_{T}, \ldots,\left(a_{d}\right)_{T} \mid L_{T}\right)=\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \sum\left(R_{T}\right)} e_{\left(R_{T}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}\left(\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}\left(a_{1}\right)_{T}, \ldots, \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}\left(a_{d}\right)_{T} \mid\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}\right)
$$

by $\left[5,7.8\right.$. Theorem 15], there is $e\left(\mathfrak{q}_{T}, L_{T}\right)=\operatorname{se}(\mathfrak{q}, L)$ for almost all $\alpha$.

## 4. Preservation of some properties of modules

By virtue of Proposition 3.10 one can show that preservartion of Cohen-Macaulayness by total specializations.
Theorem 4.1. Let $L$ be a finitely generated $S$-module. For almost all $\alpha$, we have
(i) $L_{T}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $S_{T}$-module if $L$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $S$-module.
(ii) $L_{T}$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $S_{T}$-module if $L$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $S$-module. Proof. We need only show that $\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{i} T}$ is a (maximal) Cohen-Macaulay $\left(S_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{i} T}}$-module if $L$ is a (maximal) Cohen-Macaulay $S$-modulc.
(i) Assume that $L$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $S$-module. Thercfore $\operatorname{dim} L=\operatorname{depth} L$. Since $\operatorname{dim} L=\operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}$ by Lemma 3.3 and $\operatorname{depth} L=\operatorname{depth} L_{\alpha}$ by Lemma 3.4, we get $\operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}=\operatorname{depth} L_{\alpha}$. Hence $L_{\alpha}$ is also a Cohen-Macaulay $S_{\alpha}$-module for almost all $\alpha$. Since $L_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\left(L_{T}\right)_{\boldsymbol{p}_{i} T}$, it follows that $L_{T}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $S_{T}$-module for almost all $\alpha$.
(ii) Assume that $L$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $S$-module. Therefore $\operatorname{dim} L=\operatorname{dim} S$. Since $\operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}=\operatorname{dim} L$ and $\operatorname{dim} S_{\alpha}=\operatorname{dim} S$, it follows that $\operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}=\operatorname{dim} S_{\alpha}$. Hence $L_{T}$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $S_{T}$-module.

The $i$ th Bass and $i$ th Betti numbers of $L$, which are denoted by $\mu_{S}^{i}(L)$ and $\beta_{i}(L)$ respectively, are defined as follows:

$$
\mu_{S}^{i}(L)=\operatorname{dim}_{S / \mathfrak{m}} \operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{i}(S / \mathfrak{m}, L), \beta_{i}(L)=\operatorname{dim}_{S / \mathfrak{m}} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}(S / \mathfrak{m}, L), \forall i \geq 0
$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $L$ be finitely generated $S$-modules. Then, for almost all $\alpha$, we have

$$
\mu_{S_{\alpha}}^{i}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)=\mu_{S}^{i}(L), \beta_{i}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)=\beta_{i}(L), \forall i \geq 0 .
$$

Proof. Since $L$ and $L_{\alpha}$ are the finitely generated modules, all integers $\mu_{S}^{i}(L)$ and $\mu_{S_{\alpha}}^{i}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)$ are finite. We have

$$
\mu_{S}^{i}(L)=\ell\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{i}(S / \mathfrak{m}, L)\right), \mu_{S_{\alpha}}^{i}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)=\ell\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{S_{\alpha}}^{i}\left(S_{\alpha} / m_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha}\right)\right)
$$

By [2, Proposition 3.3], there is $\operatorname{Ext}_{S_{\alpha}}\left(S_{\alpha} / m_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha}\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{2}(S / m, L)_{\alpha}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}$ is a radical ideal, from [2, Proposition 2.8] it follows that

$$
\ell\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{S_{\alpha}}^{i}\left(S_{\alpha} / \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\ell\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{i}(S / \mathfrak{m}, L)_{\alpha}\right)=\ell\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{i}(S / \mathfrak{m}, L)\right)
$$

Hence $\mu_{S}^{i}(L)=\mu_{S_{\alpha}}^{i}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)$. Similar, we obtain $\beta_{i}(L)=\beta_{i}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)$.
Before invoking Lemma 4.2 to reprove Corollary 3.8 in [2], we will define a quasi-Buchsbaum module. A finitely generated module over a Noetherian commutative ring is said to be a quasiBuchsbaum module if its localization at every maximal ideal is a surjective Buchsbaum.
Corollary 4.3. Let L be finitely generated $S$-modules. Then, for allmost all $\alpha$, we have
(i) If $L$ is a surjective Buchsbaum $S$-module, then $L_{\alpha}$ is also a surjective Buchsbaum $S_{\alpha^{-}}$-module.
(ii) If $L$ is a quasi-Buchsbaum $S$-module, then $L_{T}$ is also a quasi-Buchsbaum $S_{T}$-module.

Proof. (i) Put $d=\operatorname{dim} L$. By Lemma 3.3, $\operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}=d$. Since $S$ is a regular ring, by [6, Chapter 2. Theorem 4.2| we known that $L$ is a surjective $S$-module if and only if

$$
\mu_{S}^{i}(L)=\sum_{j=0}^{i} \beta_{i-j}(S / \mathfrak{m}) \ell\left(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{j}(L)\right), i=0, \ldots, d-1
$$

Since $\ell\left(H_{\mathrm{m}}^{j}(L)\right)<\infty$, therefore $\ell\left(H_{\mathrm{m}_{\alpha}}^{j}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\ell\left(H_{\mathrm{m}}^{j}(L)\right)$ by $[2$, Theorem 3.6|. Now the proof is immedialtely from Lemma 4.2.
(ii) It is easily seen that the localization of $L_{T}$ at every maximal ideal is a surjective Buchsbaum, Hence $L_{T}$ is also a quasi-Buchsbaum $S_{T}$-module.

We will now recall the definition of the Gorenstein module. A non-zero and finitely gencrated $L$ is said to be a Gorenstein module if and only if the cousin complex for $L$ provides a injective resolution for $L$, see $[7]$. Before proving the preservation of Gorensteiness of module, we will show that the injective dimension of module $L$ is not change by specialization.
Lemma 4.4. Let $L$, he finitely generated $S$-modules. Then, for almost all $\alpha$, we have

$$
\operatorname{inj} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)=\text { inj.dim }(L)
$$

In particular, if $L$ is an injective module, then $L_{\alpha}$ is also an injective module.
Proof. Since $S$ and $S_{\alpha}$ have finite global dimensions, therefore inj.dim $L$ and inj.dim $L_{\alpha}$ are finite. From [8, Theorem 3.1.17] we obtain the following relations

$$
\operatorname{inj} \cdot \operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}=\operatorname{depth} S_{\alpha}=\operatorname{depth} S=\operatorname{inj} \cdot \operatorname{dim} L .
$$

If $L$ is an injective module, then inj. $\operatorname{dim} L=0$. Hence inj. $\operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}=0$, and therefore $L_{\alpha}$ is also an injective module.
Theorem 4.5. Let $L$ be finitely generated $S$-modules. If $L$ is a Gorenstein $S$-module, then $\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}$ is again a Gorenstein $\left(S_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}$-module for almost all $\alpha$.
Proof. Assume that $L$ is a Gorenstein $S$-module of dimension $d$. Then $L$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $S$ module and $\operatorname{dim} S=\mathrm{inj} \cdot \operatorname{dim} L=d$ by $\left[7\right.$, Theorem 3.11]. Since $\operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}=\operatorname{dim} L=d$ by Lemma 3.3 and inj. $\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{inj} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(L)$ by Lemma 4.2, thercfore $\operatorname{dim} S_{\alpha}=\operatorname{inj} \cdot \operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}=\operatorname{dim} L_{\alpha}$. Henee $\left(L_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}$ is again a Gorenstein $\left(S_{T}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{T}}$-module for almost all $\alpha$.
Corollary 4.6. Let I be an ideal of $S$. If $S / I$ is a Gorenstein ring, then $S_{T} / I_{T}$ is again a Gorenstein ring for almost all $\alpha$.
Proof. We first will recall the definition about the Gorenstein ring. A Noetherian ring is a Gorenstein ring if its localization at every maximal ideal is a Gorenstein local ring. Since the localization of
$S_{T} / I_{T}$ at every maximal ideal is also a Gorenstein ring by Theorem 4.5 , therefore $S_{T} / I_{T}$ is again a Gorenstein ring for almost all $\alpha$.
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