



Original Article

A Note on Infinite Type Germs of a Real Hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^2

Nguyen Thi Kim Son^{1,*}, Chu Van Tiep²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Hanoi University of Mining and Geology, 18 Pho Vien, Bac Tu Liem, Hanoi*

²*Department of Mathematics, Da Nang University of Education at Da Nang,
459 Ton Duc Thang, Lien Chieu, Da Nang*

Received 02 April 2019

Revised 10 April 2019; Accepted 10 April 2019

Abstract: The purpose of this article is to show that there exists a smooth real hypersurface germ (M, p) of D'Angelo infinite type in \mathbb{C}^2 such that it does not admit any (singular) holomorphic curve that has infinite order contact with M at p .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32T25; Secondary 32C25.

Key words and phrases: Holomorphic vector field, automorphism group, real hypersurface, infinite type point.

1. Introduction

Let (M, p) be a germ at p of a real smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^n and let r be a local defining function for M near p . The normalized order of contact of the curve γ with M at p is defined by

$$\tau(M, \gamma, p) := \frac{\nu(r \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)},$$

Where $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\nu(\gamma)$ is the vanishing order of $\gamma(t) - \gamma(0)$ at $t = 0$, $\nu(r \circ \gamma)$ is the vanishing order of $r \circ \gamma(t)$ at $t = 0$. The D'Angelo type of M at p is defined by

*Corresponding author.

Email address: kimsonnt.0611@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.25073/2588-1124/vnumap.4345>

$$\tau(M, p) = \sup_{\gamma} \tau(M, \gamma, p) = \sup_{\gamma} \frac{\nu(r \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all germs $\gamma: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ of non-constant holomorphic curves with $\gamma(0) = p$. Here and in what follows, $\Delta_{\varepsilon} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \varepsilon\}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) and $\Delta := \Delta_1$. We say that p is of *D'Angelo finite type* if $\tau(M, p) < +\infty$ and of *D'Angelo infinite type* if otherwise.

Throughout the paper, we assume that (M, p) is of D'Angelo infinite type. Then, there exists a sequence of non-constant holomorphic curves γ_n such that $\frac{\nu(r \circ \gamma_n)}{\nu(\gamma_n)} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is natural to ask whether there exists a variety that has infinite order contact with (M, p) . This question pertains to the regularity issue of $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problems over pseudoconvex domains (see [1, 2, 3, 4], and the references therein).

If (M, p) is real-analytic, then by using the ideal theoretic method L. Lempert and J. P. D'Angelo [5, 6] showed that M contains a nontrivial holomorphic curve γ_{∞} passing through p . For a germ of a real analytic hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^3 , we refer the interested reader to [7] for a proof of this result by using a geometric construction.

For the case when (M, p) is a real smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^n , J. E. Fornæss, L. Lee and Y. Zhang [8] proved that if $\tau(M, p) = +\infty$, then there exists a formal complex curve in the hypersurface M through p . However, Kang-Tae Kim and V. T. Ninh [9, Proposition 4] asserted independently that there is a formal curve $\varphi(t) = \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j t^j, t \right)$ which has infinite order contact with M at p for the case $M \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

In [9], Kang-Tae Kim and V. T. Ninh pointed out that in general there is no such a regular holomorphic curve γ_{∞} . We ensure that this result still holds even for singular holomorphic curve γ_{∞} . Namely, our aim is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. There exists a hypersurface germ $(M, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 with $\tau(M, 0) = +\infty$ that does not admit any (singular) holomorphic curve that has infinite order contact with M at 0.

We now briefly sketch the idea of proof of Theorem 1. As in the proof of Example 2 in [9], we construct a certain sequence of smooth functions $\{f_n\} \subset C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\text{supp}(f_n)$ tending to $\{0\}$ such that f_n is harmonic in a sufficiently small disc in $\text{supp}(f_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Moreover, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ converges uniformly on \mathbb{C} to a smooth function $f(z)$. Then the desired hypersurface M can be defined by

$$M = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \operatorname{Re}(z_1) + f(z_2) = 0\},$$

which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

In this paper, we only deal with a smooth real hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^2 . However, the statement of Theorem 1 remains valid even for higher-dimensional hypersurfaces.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of this theorem proceeds along the same lines as that of Example 2 in [9]. For the convenience of the reader, we shall provide some crucial arguments given in [9]. First of all, let $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $|M_n| > 2n^{n\gamma_n+2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in \mathbb{R} with $\gamma_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of positive numbers with $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists a holomorphic function g_n on Δ_{α_n} satisfying that $\nu(g_n) = n$ and

$$g_n^{(j)}(0) = \begin{cases} M_n & \text{if } j = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq j. \end{cases}$$

For instance, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we define $g_n(z) := \frac{1}{(\alpha_n)^n - z^n} - \frac{1}{(\alpha_n)^n}$, where $\alpha_n := \frac{1}{M_n^{\frac{1}{2n}}}$ and

$M_n > 2n^{n^2+2}$ (see [9, Example 2]).

For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, denote by $\tilde{f}_n(z)$ the C^∞ -smooth function on \mathbb{C} such that

$$\tilde{f}_n(z) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re}(g_n(z)) & \text{if } |z| < \alpha_{n+1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } |z| > \alpha_n. \end{cases}$$

Then, one can see that $\nu(\tilde{f}_n) = n$ and

$$\frac{\partial^j \tilde{f}_n}{\partial z^j}(0) = \begin{cases} \frac{M_n}{2} & \text{if } j = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Now let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\lambda_n \geq \max \left\{ 1, \left\| \frac{\partial^{k+l} \tilde{f}_n}{\partial z^k \partial \bar{z}^l} \right\|_\infty : k, l \in \mathbb{N}, k+l \leq n \right\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ represents the supremum norm. Let us define a function f_n by setting $f_n(z) := \frac{1}{n^2 \lambda_n^n} \tilde{f}_n(\lambda_n z)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then, by the repeated use of the chain rule, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^k f_n}{\partial z^k}(z) = \frac{1}{n^2 \lambda_n^{n-k}} \frac{\partial^k \tilde{f}_n}{\partial z^k}(\lambda_n z), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

This together with (1) implies that

$$\frac{\partial^k f_n}{\partial z^k}(0) = \begin{cases} \frac{M_n}{2n^2} & \text{if } k = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \nmid k. \end{cases}$$

Let us define a function f by setting $f(z) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n(z)$. Then, for every $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$, a direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\| \frac{\partial^{k+l} f_n}{\partial z^k \partial \bar{z}^l}(z) \right\|_\infty &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{k+l} \frac{1}{n^2 \lambda_n^{n-k-l}} \left\| \frac{\partial^{k+l} \tilde{f}_n}{\partial z^k \partial \bar{z}^l}(z) \right\|_\infty + \sum_{n=k+l+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2 \lambda_n^{n-k-l-1}} \frac{\left\| \frac{\partial^{k+l} \tilde{f}_n}{\partial z^k \partial \bar{z}^l}(z) \right\|_\infty}{\lambda_n} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{k+l} \frac{1}{n^2 \lambda_n^{n-k-l}} \left\| \frac{\partial^{k+l} \tilde{f}_n}{\partial z^k \partial \bar{z}^l}(z) \right\|_\infty + \sum_{n=k+l+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} \\ &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this ensures that $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

Next, let us fix a sequence of prime numbers $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $p_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^{p_n}}{\partial z^{p_n}} f(0) &= \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{\partial^{p_n}}{\partial z^{p_n}} f_k(0) \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{p_n-1} \frac{\partial^{p_n}}{\partial z^{p_n}} f_j(0) + \frac{\partial^{p_n}}{\partial z^{p_n}} f_{p_n}(0) + \sum_{j=p_n+1}^\infty \frac{\partial^{p_n}}{\partial z^{p_n}} f_j(0) \\ &= \frac{Mp_n}{2p_n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now define a hypersurface germ M at $(0, 0)$ by setting

$$M = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \rho = \operatorname{Re} z_1 + f(z_2) = 0\}.$$

We shall show that $\tau(M, 0) = +\infty$. To do this, for each $N \geq 2$, consider a holomorphic curve

$\gamma_N = (z_1, z_2)$ defined on $\left\{ t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < \frac{\alpha_{N+1}}{\lambda_N} \right\}$ by

$$z_1(t) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^2 \lambda_n} g_n(\lambda_n t); \quad z_2(t) = t.$$

Then, we have $\rho \circ \gamma_N(t) = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f_n(t)$. Furthermore, since $\nu(f_n) = n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that $\nu(\rho \circ \gamma_N) = N + 1$, and hence $\tau(M, 0) = +\infty$.

We finally prove that there does not exist a (singular) holomorphic curve $\gamma_\infty : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, such that $\nu(\rho \circ \gamma_\infty) = +\infty$. Note that, by a change of variables, we can assume that such a (singular) holomorphic curve γ_∞ is represented by a parametrization $\gamma_\infty(t) = (h(t), t^m)$ for some positive integer m , where h is a holomorphic function on a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C} . Indeed, suppose otherwise that such a holomorphic curve exists. Then $\rho \circ \gamma_\infty(t) = \text{Re}(h(t) + f(t^m)) = o(t^\infty)$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial^{p_n m}}{\partial z^{p_n m}} \Big|_{z=0} (\text{Re } h(z) + f(z^m)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{p_n m}}{\partial z^{p_n m}} h(0) + \frac{\partial^{p_n m}}{\partial z^{p_n m}} \Big|_{z=0} f(z^m) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{p_n m}}{\partial z^{p_n m}} h(0) + (m!)^{p_n} \frac{\partial^{p_n}}{\partial z^{p_n}} \Big|_{z=0} f(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{p_n m}}{\partial z^{p_n m}} h(0) + (m!)^{p_n} \frac{M p_n}{2 p_n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $h^{(p_n m)}(0) = -(m!)^{p_n} \frac{M p_n}{2 p_n^2}$, and moreover, since $\left(\frac{n}{3}\right)^n \leq n! \leq \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^n$ and

$|M_n| \geq 2n^{n\gamma_n+2}$ we have

$$\sqrt[p_n m]{\frac{|h^{(p_n m)}(0)|}{(p_n m)!}} = \sqrt[p_n m]{\frac{(m!)^{p_n} M p_n}{(p_n m)! 2 p_n^2}} \geq \frac{(m!)^{\frac{1}{m}} p_n^{\frac{\gamma p_n}{m}}}{p_n m + 1} \geq \frac{2 m p_n^{\frac{\gamma p_n}{m}}}{3(p_n m + 1)} \geq \frac{1}{3} p_n^{\frac{\gamma p_n}{m} - 1}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[N]{\frac{|h^N(0)|}{N!}} \geq \limsup_{p_n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[p_n m]{\frac{|h^{p_n m}(0)|}{(p_n m)!}} = \lim_{p_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3} p_n^{\frac{\gamma p_n}{m} - 1} = +\infty.$$

This implies that the Taylor series of $h(z)$ at 0 has radius of convergence 0, which is absurd since h is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin. Hence, the proof is complete.

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Ninh Van Thu and Nguyen Ngoc Khanh for stimulating discussions on this material.

References

- [1] J. P. D'Angelo, Real hypersurfaces, orders of contact, and applications, *Ann. of Math.* 115 (1982) 615-637.
- [2] D. Catlin, Necessary conditions for subellipticity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem, *Ann. of Math.* 117 (1) (1983) 147-171.
- [3] D. Catlin, Boundary invariants of pseudoconvex domains, *Ann. of Math.* 120 (3) (1984) 529-586.
- [4] D. Catlin, Subelliptic estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on pseudoconvex domains, *Ann. of Math.* 126 (1) (1987) 131-191.
- [5] J.P. D'Angelo, *Several complex variables and the geometry of real hypersurfaces*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1993.
- [6] L.Lempert, On the boundary regularity of biholomorphic mappings, *Contributions to several complex variables*, *Aspects Math.* E9 (1986) 193-215.
- [7] J.E. Fornæss, L. Lee, Y. Zhang, Formal complex curves in real smooth hypersurfaces, *Illinois J. Math.* 58 (1) (2014) 1-10.
- [8] J.E. Fornæss, B. Stenonson, Infinite type germs of real analytic pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^3 , *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* 57 (6) (2012) 705-717.
- [9] K.T. Kim, V.T. Ninh, On the tangential holomorphic vector fields vanishing at an infinite type point, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367(2) (2015) 867-885