

ON LENGTH FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A SYSTEM OF PARAMETERS IN LOCAL RINGS

Nguyen Thai Hoa

Faculty of Mathematics

Pedagogical Institute of Quy Nhon

I. INTRODUCTION

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative Noetherian local ring and M be a finitely generated A -module with $\dim M = d$. We denote $Q_M(\underline{x})$ the submodule of M defined by

$$Q_M(\underline{x}) = \bigcup_{n>0} ((x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_d^{n+1})M : x_1^n \dots x_d^n),$$

where $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a system of parameters of M .

Note that the submodule $Q_M(\underline{x})$ is used for studying the monomial conjecture with respect to the system of parameters \underline{x} (see [7, 8]). Recall that the monomial conjecture holds true for the system of parameters \underline{x} if $x_1^n \dots x_d^n \notin (x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_d^{n+1})M$ for all $n > 0$. Therefore, the monomial conjecture holds true for \underline{x} if and only if $Q_M(\underline{x}) \neq M$. On the other hand, it was shown in [4] that $Q_M(\underline{x}) = (x_1, \dots, x_d)M$ provided M is Cohen - Macaulay module. Conversely, if there is a system of parameters \underline{x} such that $Q_M(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}M$ then M is Cohen - Macaulay module. This fact suggest us to study the length $l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}))$. The purpose of this note is to study the following function of \underline{n}

$$q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})),$$

where $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$ is a d -tuple of positive integers and $\underline{x}(\underline{n}) = (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_d^{n_d})$. Then, a natural question is whether $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ is a polynomial of n_1, \dots, n_d for \underline{n} sufficiently large ($\underline{n} \gg 0$) ? or it is equivalent to ask whether the function

$$J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = n_1 \dots n_d e(\underline{x}, M) - q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$$

is a polynomial for $\underline{n} \gg 0$?

We will give in this note some basic properties of the function $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ in Section 2 and some properties of the function $J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ in Section 3.

II. BASIC PROPERTIES OF $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$

Throughout this note, we denote by (A, \mathfrak{m}) a commutative Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and by M a finitely generated A -module with $\dim M = d$. Let

$\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ be a system of parameters of M . Then the submodule $Q_M(\underline{x})$ of M is defined by

$$Q_M(\underline{x}) = \bigcup_{n>0} ((x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_d^{n+1})M : x_1^n \dots x_d^n),$$

and for $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$, we put

$$Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n}) = Q_M(\underline{x}(\underline{n})).$$

The functions $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ and $J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ are defined by

$$q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})),$$

and

$$J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = n_1 \dots n_d e(\underline{x}, M) - l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})).$$

Therefore, we can consider $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ and $J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ as functions of \underline{n} .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ be a system of parameters of M . Then the following statements are true.*

i) *Let N be an Artinian submodule of M . Then \underline{x} is a system of parameters of $\overline{M} = M/N$ and $q_{\overline{M},\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$.*

ii) *Put $\overline{M}_1 = M/(o : x_1)$. Then \underline{x} is a system of parameters of \overline{M}_1 and $q_{\overline{M}_1,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$.*

Proof: i) From the property of the system of parameters, \underline{x} is a system of parameters of \overline{M} . Let

$$\mathfrak{m}N \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^2N \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^tN \supseteq \dots$$

be a descending chain of submodule of N . Since N is an Artinian A -module then $\mathfrak{m}^kN = \mathfrak{m}^{k+1}N$ for a positive integer k . Since

$$\bigcap_{n>0} \mathfrak{m}^n N = 0,$$

we have $\mathfrak{m}^kN = 0$.

Consider the map

$$\Phi : M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n}) \rightarrow \overline{M}/Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}, \underline{n}),$$

defined by $\Phi(u + Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})) = \bar{u} + Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}, \underline{n})$ for any $u \in M$. Since M is an A -module Noetherian it should be note that there exists $n_0 \gg 0$, such that for $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$, we have

$$Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n}) = (x_1^{n_1(n_0+1)}, \dots, x_d^{n_d(n_0+1)})M : x_1^{n_1 n_0} \dots x_d^{n_d n_0},$$

and

$$Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}, \underline{n}) = (x_1^{n_1(n_0+1)}, \dots, x_d^{n_d(n_0+1)})\overline{M} : x_1^{n_1 n_0} \dots x_d^{n_d n_0}.$$

Thus, it is easy to show that Φ is well defined and it is surjective. Therefore $\ker \phi = 0$.

Furthermore, we can choose $n_0 \geq k$, hence it is also injective. Therefore $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = q_{\overline{M},\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$.

ii) Can be proved similarly as (i) \square

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that \widehat{A} is the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of A and \widehat{M} is the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of M . Then

$$q_{M, \underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = q_{\widehat{M}, \underline{x}}(\underline{n})$$

for all $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$.

Proof. Since the natural homomorphism $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is absolutely flat, then \underline{x} is a system of parameters of \widehat{M} and

$$Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n}) = Q_{\widehat{M}}(\underline{x}, \underline{n}).$$

Therefore we have

$$q_{M, \underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})) = l_A(\widehat{M}/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})) = l_A(\widehat{M}/Q_{\widehat{M}}(\underline{x}, \underline{n})) = q_{\widehat{M}, \underline{x}}(\underline{n}) \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.3. If $\underline{n} \geq \underline{m}$ (i.e. $n_i \geq m_i, i = 1, \dots, d$) then $Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n}) \subseteq Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{m})$.

Proof. Let α be a positive integer. We put

$$Q_M(\alpha) = \bigcup_{n>0} ((x_1^{\alpha(n+1)}, x_2^{\alpha(n+1)}, \dots, x_d^{\alpha(n+1)})M : x_1^{\alpha n} x_2^{\alpha n} \dots x_d^{\alpha n}).$$

Since $Q_M(\underline{x})$ is independent of the order of the sequence \underline{x} , we have only to show that

$$Q_M(\alpha) \subset Q_M(\alpha - 1) \subset \dots \subset Q_M(1),$$

with $\alpha \geq 2$. In fact, M is Noetherian then there exist $n_0 \gg 0$ such that

$$Q_M(\alpha) = (x_1^{\alpha(n_0+1)}, x_2^{\alpha(n_0+1)}, \dots, x_d^{\alpha(n_0+1)})M : x_1^{\alpha n_0} x_2^{\alpha n_0} \dots x_d^{\alpha n_0},$$

and

$$Q_M(\alpha - 1) = (x_1^{(\alpha-1)(2n_0+1)}, x_2^{2n_0+1}, \dots, x_d^{2n_0+1})M : x_1^{(\alpha-1)(2n_0)} x_2^{2n_0} \dots x_d^{2n_0}.$$

For any element $a \in Q_M(\alpha)$

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1^{(\alpha-1)2n_0} x_2^{2n_0} \dots x_d^{2n_0})a &= (x_1^{\alpha n_0 - 2n_0} \dots x_d^{n_0})(x_1^{\alpha n_0} \dots x_d^{n_0})a \\ &= (x_1^{\alpha n_0 - 2n_0} \dots x_d^{n_0})(x_1^{\alpha(n_0+1)} y_1 + x_2^{n_0+1} y_2 + \dots + x_d^{n_0+1} y_d) \end{aligned}$$

for some $y_1, \dots, y_d \in M$. It follows that

$$(x_1^{(\alpha-1)2n_0} x_2^{2n_0} \dots x_d^{2n_0})a = x_1^{(\alpha-1)(2n_0+1)} z_1 + x_2^{2n_0+1} z_2 + \dots + x_d^{2n_0+1} z_d$$

for some $z_1, \dots, z_d \in M$. Therefore, $a \in Q_M(\alpha - 1)$ \square

Corollary 2.4. *The function $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ is ascending, i.e., $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) \geq q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{m})$ for $\underline{n} \geq \underline{m}$, ($n_i \geq m_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$).*

Proof: For $\underline{n} \geq \underline{m}$, we consider the map

$$\varphi : M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n}) \rightarrow M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{m}),$$

defined by

$$\varphi(a + Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})) = a + Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{m}),$$

for any $a \in M$. By Lemma 2.3. the map φ is well defined and it is surjective. Hence

$$l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{m})) \leq l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})) \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.5. $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) \leq n_1 \dots n_d e(\underline{x}, M)$.

Proof: We only need to show that $q_{M,\underline{x}}(1) \leq e(\underline{x}, M)$. We prove this inequality by induction on d .

If $d = 1$, by Lemma 2.1 (i), we may assume that $\text{depth } M > 0$. Since $\text{depth } M = \dim M$ then M is an A-module Cohen-Macaulay. Hence, we get $l_A(M/x_1M) = e(x_1, M)$ and $Q_M(x_1, 1) = x_1M$. So we have done for the case $d = 1$.

For $d > 1$ and the assertion is true for all A-modules of dimension $< d$. By Lemma 2.1, (ii), we may assume that $\text{depth } M > 0$ and x_1 is a non-zero divisor of M . Let $\overline{M} = M/x_1M$. We get $\dim \overline{M} = d - 1$ and $\underline{x}' = (x_2, \dots, x_d)$ is a system of parameters of \overline{M} . Consider the map

$$\Phi : \overline{M}/Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', 1) \rightarrow M/Q_M(\underline{x}, 1),$$

defined by

$$\Phi(\overline{a} + Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', 1)) = a + Q_M(\underline{x}, 1),$$

for any element $a \in M$. The map Φ is well defined and it is an epimorphism. We obtain

$$l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, 1)) \leq l_A(\overline{M}/Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', 1)).$$

Applying the induction hypothesis, we get

$$l_A(\overline{M}/Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', 1)) \leq e(\underline{x}', \overline{M}).$$

Since x_1 is a non-zero divisor of M then $e(\underline{x}', \overline{M}) = e(\underline{x}, M)$. Therefore, $l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, 1)) \leq e(\underline{x}, M)$ and the theorem is proved \square

III. THE FUNCTION $J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$

Recall that the function $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ is a polynomial when \underline{n} is large enough ($n \gg 0$) if and only if

$$J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = n_1 \dots n_d e(\underline{x}, M) - l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})),$$

is a polynomial for $n \gg 0$.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose that $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a system of parameters of M and $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$. Then $J_{M, \underline{x}}(\underline{n}) \leq n_1 \dots n_d J_{M, \underline{x}}(1)$.

Proof. Let α be a positive integer and $\underline{x}(\alpha) = (x_1^\alpha, x_2, \dots, x_d)$. By Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$Q_M(\alpha) \subseteq Q_M(\alpha - 1) \subseteq \dots \subseteq Q_M(1), \quad (1)$$

for $\alpha \geq 2$. Consider the map

$$\varphi : M/Q_M(\alpha) \rightarrow M/Q_M(\alpha - 1),$$

defined by

$$\varphi(a + Q_M(\alpha)) = a + Q_M(\alpha - 1),$$

for any element $a \in M$. By (1), it is easy to show that the map φ is well defined and it is an epimorphism and

$$\text{Ker}(\varphi) = Q_M(\alpha - 1)/Q_M(\alpha).$$

Consider the map

$$\Psi : M/Q_M(1) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\varphi)$$

defined by

$$\Psi(a + Q_M(1)) = x_1^{\alpha-1}a + Q_M(\alpha),$$

for any element $a \in M$. Since $x_1^{\alpha-1}Q_M(\alpha) \subseteq Q_M(1)$, we can verify that the map Ψ is well defined and it is a monomorphism. Since φ is surjective and Ψ is injective, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l_A(M/Q_M(\alpha)) &= l_A(M/Q_M(\alpha - 1)) + l_A(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) \\ &\geq l_A(M/Q_M(\alpha - 1)) + l_A(M/Q_M(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the induction hypothesis, we get

$$l_A(M/Q_M(\alpha - 1)) \geq (\alpha - 1)l_A(M/Q_M(1)).$$

Hence

$$l_A(M/Q_M(\alpha)) \geq \alpha l_A(M/Q_M(1)).$$

Because the proof is independent of the order of the sequence \underline{x} , finally, we have

$$l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})) \geq n_1 \dots n_d l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, 1)).$$

Hence

$$J_{M, \underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = n_1 \dots n_d e(\underline{x}, M) - l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})) \leq n_1 \dots n_d J_{M, \underline{x}}(1).$$

The proposition is proved. \square

Theorem 3.2. *The function $J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ is ascending, i.e,*

$$J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{m}) \leq J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}),$$

when $\underline{m} \leq \underline{n}$.

Proof: For every $\sigma \in S_d$, we have

$$Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n}) = Q_M(\underline{x}^\sigma, \underline{n}),$$

where $\underline{x}^\sigma = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(d)})$. Hence, we only need to prove the theorem in the case $m_1 = n_1, \dots, m_{d-1} = n_{d-1}$ and $m_d \leq n_d$. We do it by induction on d . In the case $d = 1$, we get

$$J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{m}) = J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = 0.$$

For $d > 1$, by Lemma 2.1, (ii), we can assume that $\text{depth } M > 0$ and x_1 is a non-zero-divisor. Let $\overline{M} = M/x_1^{n_1}M$.

Consider the map

$$\Psi_1 : \overline{M}/Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{m}') \rightarrow M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{m}),$$

defined by

$$\Psi_1(\bar{a} + Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{m}')) = a + Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{m}),$$

for any element $\bar{a} \in \overline{M}$, where $\underline{m}' = (m_2, \dots, m_d)$, $\underline{x}' = (x_2, \dots, x_d)$; and

$$\Psi_2 : \overline{M}/Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{n}') \rightarrow M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n}),$$

defined by

$$\Psi_2(\bar{u} + Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{n}')) = u + Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n}),$$

for any element $\bar{u} \in \overline{M}$, where $\underline{n}' = (n_2, \dots, n_d)$. We can see that these maps are well defined and they are surjective. So we get

$$l_A(\overline{M}/Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{m}')) = l_A(\text{Ker}(\Psi_1)) + l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{m})),$$

and

$$l_A(\overline{M}/Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{n}')) = l_A(\text{Ker}(\Psi_2)) + l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}, \underline{n})).$$

It follows that

$$J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{m}) = J_{\overline{M},\underline{x}'}(\underline{m}') + l_A(\text{Ker}(\Psi_1)),$$

and

$$J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n}) = J_{\overline{M},\underline{x}'}(\underline{n}') + l_A(\text{Ker}(\Psi_2)).$$

Applying the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$J_{\overline{M},\underline{x}'}(\underline{m}') \leq J_{\overline{M},\underline{x}'}(\underline{n}').$$

Let $m_d + s = n_d$, we have

$$x_d^s Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{m}') \subseteq Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{n}'). \quad (2)$$

Consider the map

$$\Phi : \overline{M}/Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{m}') \rightarrow \overline{M}/Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{n}'),$$

defined by

$$\Phi(\bar{u} + Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{m}')) = x_d^s \bar{u} + Q_{\overline{M}}(\underline{x}', \underline{n}'),$$

for any element $\bar{u} \in \overline{M}$. By (2), the map Φ is well defined and it is an injection. Let Φ_1 be the map of Φ restricted into the set $\text{Ker}(\Psi_1)$. We can easily check that Φ_1 mapping of the set $\text{Ker}(\Psi_1)$ into $\text{Ker}(\Psi_2)$ is also injective. Therefore, $l_A(\text{ker}(\Psi_1)) \leq l_A(\text{ker}(\Psi_2))$. It follows that

$$J_{M, \underline{x}}(\underline{m}) \leq J_{M, \underline{x}}(\underline{n}),$$

as required \square

For $\dim M \leq 2$, we have following result.

Theorem 3.3. *If $\dim M \leq 2$ then the function $J_{M, \underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ is a constant for $\underline{n} \gg 0$.*

Proof: In the case $d = 1$, by Lemma 2.1, we can assume that $\text{depth } M > 0$. Since $\text{depth } M = \dim M$ then M is an A -module Cohen-Macaulay. Hence, $Q_M(x_1, n_1) = x_1^{n_1} M$ and

$$l_A(M/Q_M(x_1, n_1)) = l_A(M/x_1^{n_1} M) = e(x_1^{n_1}, M) = n_1 e(x_1, M).$$

Therefore $J_{M, x_1}(n_1) = 0$.

In the case $d = 2$, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, without any loss of the generality, we can assume that $A = \hat{A}$. Let $M_n = M/x_1^n M$, we have $\dim M_n = 1$. For any positive integer n we set $\underline{x}(n) = (x_1^n, x_2^n)$ and $\underline{x}'(n) = (x_2^n)$ to be a system of parameters of M_n . There is an exact sequence of A -modules and A -homomorphism

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\varphi) \rightarrow M_n/Q_{M_n}(x_2^n) \xrightarrow{\varphi} M/Q_M(\underline{x}(n)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

where φ is defined by

$$\varphi(\bar{u} + Q_{M_n}(x_2^n)) = u + Q_M(\underline{x}(n)),$$

for any $\bar{u} \in M_n$. Following [1], we can choose x_1 so that

$$\text{Ker}(\varphi) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)/x_1^n H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M),$$

and the length of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)/x_1^n H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)$ is finite and independent of n when n is large enough. By (3), it follows that

$$l_A(M_n/Q_{M_n}(x_2^n)) = l_A(\text{ker}(\varphi)) + l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}(n))).$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{M,\underline{x}}(n) &= n^2 e(\underline{x}, M) - l_A(M/Q_M(\underline{x}(n))) \\
&= e(x_2^n, M_n) - l_A(M_n/Q_{M_n}(x_2^n)) + l_A(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)/x_1^n H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)) \\
&= l_A(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)/x_1^n H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)).
\end{aligned}$$

is a constant for $n \gg 0$. Applying Theorem 3.2, the theorem is proved \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N.T. Cuong and V.T. Khoi. Modules whose local cohomology modules have Cohen-Macaulay Matlis duals. *Proc. of Hanoi Conference 1995, Springer-Verlag*, 223-231
- [2] N.T. Cuong and N.D. Minh. On the length of Koszul homology and generalized fractions, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 119 (1)(1996), 31- 42.
- [3] N.T. Cuong and N.D. Minh. Length of generalized fractions of rings with polynomial type ≤ 2 *Vietnam J. Math* 26 1(1998), 87 - 90.
- [4] R.Y. Hartshorne. A property of A-sequence. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 4(1966), 61-66.
- [5] H. Matsumura. *Commutative algebra*. Second edition, London: Benjamin 1980.
- [6] N.D. Minh. On the least degree of polynomials bounding above the differences between multiplicities and length of generalized fractions. *Acta Math. Vietnam* 20 (1)(1995), 115 - 128.
- [7] R.Y. Sharp and H. Zakeri. Modules of generalized fractions, *Mathematika* 29(1982), 32 - 41.
- [8] R.Y. Sharp and H. Zakeri. Lengths of certain generalized fractions, *J.Pure Appl. Alg.* 38(1985), 323 - 336.

TẠP CHÍ KHOA HỌC ĐHQGHN, KHTN, t.XV, n⁰1 - 1999

VỀ NHỮNG HÀM ĐỘ DÀI XÁC ĐỊNH BỞI HỆ THAM SỐ TRONG VÀNH ĐỊA PHƯƠNG

Nguyễn Thái Hòa

Khoa Toán, Đại học Sư phạm Quy Nhơn

Trong bài này chúng tôi định nghĩa hai hàm độ dài $q_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ và $J_{M,\underline{x}}(\underline{n})$ theo d -biến $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$ liên kết với hệ tham số $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ của A - môđun M. Một số tính chất của những hàm này được nêu ra.