

ON ALGEBRAIC CHARACTERIZATIONS OF GENERALIZED RIGHT INVERTIBLE OPERATORS IN LINEAR SPACES

Nguyen Minh Tuan
College of Natural Sciences - VNU

In this paper we introduce a class of generalized right invertible operators. This class contains all right invertible operators and some well-known operators in Analysis as Projection, Differentiation, Difference and some classes of algebraic operators. We demonstrate that many fundamental properties from the theory of right invertible operators can be extended to generalized right invertible operators as Taylor's and Taylor-Gontcharov's formulae and then we apply these results to solve some corresponding equations in generalized right invertible operators.

1. FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED RIGHT INVERTIBLE OPERATORS

Let X be a linear space over a field of scalars F . Denote by $L(X)$ the set of all linear operators with domains and ranges in X and write: $L_0(X) = \{A \in L(X) : \text{dom} A = X\}$. The set of all invertible operators in $L(X)$ will be denoted by $R(X)$. For a $D \in R(X)$ we denote, respectively by R_D and F_D the set of all right inverses and the set of all initial operators for D , i.e.

$$R_D = \{R \in L_0(X) : DR = I\},$$

$$F_D = \{F \in L_0(X) : F^2 = F, FX = \ker D\}.$$

Definition 1. An operator $V \in L(X)$ is said to be generalized invertible (*GI-operator*) if there exists a $W \in L_0(X)$ such that

$$VWV = V \text{ on } \text{dom } V.$$

The set of all *GI-operators* in $L(X)$ will be denoted by $W(X)$. For a $V \in W(X)$ we denote by \mathcal{W}_V the set of all generalized inverses in $L_0(X)$ of V .

Definition 2. An operator $V \in W(X)$ is said to be possessing a right invertibility of degree r ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) (shortly: V is right invertible of degree r) if there is a $W \in \mathcal{W}_V$ such that

$$\text{Im}(VW - I) \subset \ker V^r,$$

where we admit $V^0 = I$ for the case $r = 0$.

The set of all right invertible operators (in $L(X)$) of degree r will be denoted by $R_r(X)$. Hence, by Definitions 1 and 2, it follows

$$R(X) = R_0(X) \subset R_1(X) \subset \dots \subset R_n(X) \subset W(X); \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Definition 3. Every $V \in R_1(X)$ is called a generalized right invertible operator (shortly: GR-ble operator). For a $V \in R_1(X)$ we denote by R_V^1 the set of all generalized right inverses (GR -inverses) of V . Moreover, if there is $W \in R_V^1$ such that $\text{Im } W \subset \ker(VW - I)$ then called an almost right inverse of V . In that case, we write: $W \in R_V^{(1)}$.

Proposition 1. Let $D \in R(X)$, $R \in R_D$ and let $V = R^m D^n$, where $n \geq m$, $n, m \in N$. Then $V \in R_1(X)$. Moreover if $n \geq 2m$, then there is an almost right inverse of V .

Write: $W_0 = R^{n-m}$, where we admit $R^0 = I$ for the case $n = m$. Since $R \in L_0(X)$ we deduce that $W_0 \in L_0(X)$. Using equalities $D^k R^k = I$, we find

$$V^2 W_0 = R^m D^n R^m D^n R^{n-m} = R^m D^{n-m} D^m = R^m D^n = V \quad (1)$$

$$V W_0 V = R^m D^n R^{n-m} R^m D^n = R^m D^n = V. \quad (2)$$

From (1) we get $\text{Im}(V W_0 - I) \subset \ker V$. This and (2) together imply that $V \in R_V^1$.

On the other hand, for the case $n \geq 2m$ we have

$$V W_0^2 = R^m D^n R^{n-m} R^{n-m} = R^m D^m R^{n-m} = R^m D^m R^m R^{n-2m} = W_0,$$

and $W_0 \subset \ker(V W_0 - I)$. Hence $W_0 \in R_V^{(1)}$.

Example 1. Let $X = C([0, 1], F)$ and let $D = \frac{d}{dt}$, $(Rx)(t) = \int_{t_0}^t x(s)ds$ and $(Fx)(t) = x(t_0) \in [0, 1]$. Write: $V = FD$, then $V \neq 0$ and $V^2 = 0$. It is easy to see that $V \in W(X)$. However, $V \notin R_r(X)$ for $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Indeed, $V \notin R(X)$, i.e. $V \notin R_0(X)$. Suppose that there is $W \in L_0(X)$ such that $\text{Im}(VW - I) \subset \ker V$. We find $V = V^2 W = 0$, which contradicts the condition $V \neq 0$.

Proposition 2. Let $V \in R_1(X)$ and let $W \in R_V^1$. Then

$$V^n W^m = \begin{cases} V^{n-1} & \text{if } n > m \geq 1, \\ VW & \text{if } n = m, \\ VW^{m-n+1} & \text{if } m > n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

If $n = m \geq 2$, we find

$$V^m W^m = V^{n-2} (V^2 W) W^{n-1} = V^{n-1} W^{n-1} = \dots = V^2 W^2 = (V^2 W) W = VW.$$

For $m \geq 1$, we have the equalities

$$V^n W^m = V^{n-m} (V^m W^m) = V^{n-m} (VW) = V^{n-m-1} (V^2 W) = V^{n-m}.$$

Finally, for $m > n \geq 1$, we get

$$V^n W^m = (V^n W^m) W^{m-n} = (VW) W^{m-n} = VW^{m-n-1}.$$

The proof is complete.

Proposition 3. Let $V \in R_1(X)$ and $W \in R_V^1$. Then $V^n \in R_1(X)$ for all $n \in N^+$ and $W^n \in R_{V^n}^1$.

Proof. The assumptions and Proposition 2 together imply the following equalities

$$V^n = (V W V) V^{n-1} = V W V^n = V^2 W W V^n = V^2 W^2 W^n = \dots = V^n W^n V^n,$$

i.e. $V^n \in W(X)$. On the other hand, also by Proposition 2, we have

$$V^n = V^{n-1} V^2 W = V^n (V W) = V^n (V^2 W W) = V^n V^2 W^2 = \dots = V^n V^n W^n.$$

Hence $V^n (V^n W^n - I) = 0$, which shows that $V^n \in R_1(X)$ and $W^n \in R_{V^n}^1$.

Theorem 1. *Let $V \in R_1(X)$ and let $W_0 \in R_V^1$. Then $W \in L_0(X)$ is an GR-inverse of V if only if there is an $A \in L_0(X)$ such that $\text{Im } A \subset \ker V^2$ and*

$$W = W_0 + A - W_0 V A V W_0.$$

Proof. Let W is of the form (1), where $\text{Im } A \subset \ker V^2$ and $W_0 \in R_V^1$. We have

$$V W V = V + V A V - V W_0 V A V W_0 V = V + V A V - V A V = V,$$

$$V^2 W = V^2 W_1 + V^2 A - V^2 W_1 V A V W_1 = V - V^2 A V A W_1 = V.$$

Equalities (5) and (6) together imply $W \in R_V^1$.

Conversely, let $W_0, W \in R_V^1$. Write: $A = W - W_0$. We find $V^2 A = V^2 W - V^2 W_0 V - V = 0$. i.e. $\text{Im } A \subset \ker V^2$. The equalities $V W V = V$ and $V W_0 V = V$ together in $V(W - W_0)V = 0$. Hence, we have

$$W_0 + A - W_0 V A V W_0 = W_0 + (W - W_0) - W_0 V (W - W_0) V W_0 = W,$$

which gives the representation (1).

Theorem 2. *Let $A, B \in L_0(X)$ be given. Then $I + AB \in R_1(X)$ if and only if $I + BA \in R_1(X)$. Moreover, if $W_{AB} \in R_{I+AB}^1$, then*

$$W = I - B W_{AB} A \in R_{I+BA}^1.$$

Proof. Let $I + AB \in R_1(X)$ and $W_{AB} \in R_{I+AB}^1$. Then $(I + AB)^2 W_{AB} = (I + AB)$ and W def by the formula (1) are well-defined on X . We have the following equalities.

$$\begin{aligned} (I + BA)W(I + BA) &= (I + BA)(I - B W_{AB} A)(I + BA) \\ &= (I + BA)^2 - (I + BA) B W_{AB} A (I + BA) \\ &= (I + BA)^2 - B (I + AB) W_{AB} (I + AB) A \\ &= (I + AB)^2 - B (I + AB) A = I + BA, \end{aligned}$$

which show that $I + BA \in W(X)$.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} (I + BA)^2 W_{BA} &= (I + BA)^2 (I - B W_{AB} A) = (I + BA)^2 - (I + BA)^2 B W_{AB} A \\ &= (I + BA)^2 - B (I + AB)^2 W_{AB} A = (I + BA)^2 - B (I + AB) A = I + B. \end{aligned}$$

ply that $W \in R_{I+EA}^1$ and $I + BA \in R_1(X)$. The proof is complete.

tion 4. Let $V \in R_1(X)$ and $W_0 \in R_V^1$. Write: $W_1 = W_0 V W_0$. Then $W_1 \in R_V^1$ and $W_1 V W_1 = W_1$.

he assumptions $V^2 W_0 = V$ and $V W_0 V = V$ together imply the following equalities

$$V^2 W_1 = V^2 W_0 V W_0 = V (V W_0 V) W_0 = V^2 W_0 = V,$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 V W_1 &= W_0 V W_0 V W_0 V W_0 = W_0 (V W_0 V) W_0 V W_0 \\ &= W_0 V W_0 V W_0 = W_0 V W_0 = V, \end{aligned}$$

ve $W_1 \in R_V^1$ and $W_1 V W_1 = W_1$.

he sequel, we write: $R_V^{(1,0)} = \{W \in R_V^1 : W V W = W\}$.

2. RIGHT AND LEFT INITIAL OPERATORS FOR GENERALIZED RIGHT INVERTIBLE OPERATORS

$V \in R_1(X)$, $W \in R_V^{(1,0)}$ and let $\dim \ker V \neq 0$.

on 4. Let $V \in R_1(X)$ and let $W \in R_V^{(0,1)}$. An operator $F_r = F_W^{(r)} \in L_0(X)$ is said to be a *right initial operator* (shortly: *RI operator*) for V corresponding to W if $F_r^2 = F_r$, $\text{Im } F_r = \ker V$ and $F_r V = 0$ on X . The set of all *RI-operators* for $V \in R_1(X)$ will be denoted by $F_V^{(r)}$.

on 5. Let $V \in R_1(X)$ and $W \in R_V^{(1,0)}$. Then the operator $F_W^{(I)} = I - V W$ is said to be a *left initial operator* for V corresponding to W .

he that, if $V \in R(X)$ then $F_W^{(I)} = 0$ for all $W \in R_V$.

tion 5. Let $V \in R_1(X)$ and Let $W \in R_V^1$. Then

$$\text{dom } V = W V (\text{dom } V) \oplus \ker V.$$

Note that for every $x \in \text{dom } V$ we have $W V x \in W V (\text{dom } V)$ and $(I - W V) x \in \ker V$. Thus $x = W V x + (I - W V) x$. On the other hand, if $x \in W V (\text{dom } V) \cap \ker V$, then there is $v \in \text{dom } V$ such that $x = W V v$ and $V x = 0$, simultaneously. Hence $0 = V x = V (W V) v = V W (V v) = 0$.

tion 6. Let F be a *RI-operator* for $V \in R_1(X)$ corresponding to $W \in R_V^{(1,0)}$. Then $F v = v$ for all $v \in \ker V$.

$$V F = 0.$$

$$X = \ker F \oplus \ker V.$$

For every $v \in \ker V$, by Definition 4, there is $x \in \text{dom } V$ such that $v = V x$. Hence $v = V x = v$.

(ii) Also by Definition 4, for every $x \in X$, we have $Fx \in \ker V$. Hence $VFx = 0$, i.e. on X .

(iii) It is easy to see that $F \in R_1(X)$ and $F \in R_F^1$. Hence, by Proposition 5, $X = F(FX) \oplus \ker F = \ker V \oplus \ker F$.

Theorem 3. Let $V \in R_1(X)$ and let $W \in R_V^{(1,0)}$. Then $F \in L_0(X)$ is an RI-operator corresponding to W if and only if $\text{Im } F \subset \ker V$ and $F = I - WV$ on $\text{dom } V$.

Proof. Let $F \in F_V^{(r)}$ be an RI-operator for V corresponding to $W \in R_V^{(1,0)}$. For any $x \in \text{dom } V$ we find $Vx = VWVx = Vu$, where $u = WVs$. Hence $x - u \in \ker V$ and by Proposition 5 get $(I - WV)x = x - u = F(x - u) - Fx - Fu = Fx - FWVx = Fx$ for $FW = 0$.

Conversely, if $F \in L_0(X)$ such that $\text{Im } F \subset \ker V$ and $F = I - WV$ on $\text{dom } V$, for any $z \in \ker V$ we get $Fz = (I - WV)z = z - WVz = z$. Hence $FX = \ker V$ and for $x \in X$, we find $Fx = F(Fx)$, i.e. $F^2 = F$. On the other hand, since $\text{Im } W \subset \text{dom } V$, $FW = (I - WV)W = W - WVW = 0$. Thus, $F \in F_V^{(r)}$.

Theorem 4 (Taylor-Gontcharov's formula). Let $V \in R_1(X)$ and let $\{F_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a family of RI-operators for V corresponding to a family $\{W_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of GB-inverses of V . Then

$$I = F_{\gamma_0} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} W_{\gamma_0} \cdots W_{\gamma_{k-1}} F_{\gamma_k} V^k + W_{\gamma_0} \cdots W_{\gamma_N} V^N \text{ on } \text{dom } V^N.$$

Proof. By induction, for $N = 1$ we have

$$I = F_{\gamma_0} - W_{\gamma_0}V + W_{\gamma_0}V = F_{\gamma_0} + W_{\gamma_0}V.$$

Suppose that (1) is valid for every $n \leq N$. Then for $n = N + 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\gamma_0} \cdots W_{\gamma_N} V^{N+1} &= W_{\gamma_0} \cdots W_{\gamma_{N-1}} (I - F_{\gamma_N}) V^N \\ &= W_{\gamma_0} \cdots W_{\gamma_{N-1}} V^N - W_{\gamma_0} \cdots W_{\gamma_{N-1}} F_{\gamma_N} V^N \\ &= I - F_{\gamma_0} - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} W_{\gamma_0} \cdots W_{\gamma_{k-1}} F_{\gamma_k} V^k - W_{\gamma_0} \cdots W_{\gamma_{N-1}} F_{\gamma_N} V^N \\ &= I - F_{\gamma_0} - \sum_{k=0}^N W_{\gamma_0} \cdots W_{\gamma_{k-1}} F_{\gamma_k} V^k, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1).

3. ON GENERALIZED RIGHT INVERTIBILITY OF ALGEBRAIC ELEMENTS

Let $F = C$. we say that $A \in L_0(X)$ is algebraic if there exists a non-zero normed polynomial $P(t) = t^n + a_1 t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ with coefficients in F such that $P(A) = 0$ on X . An operator A is called of order n if there does not exist a normed polynomial $Q(t)$ of degree less than n such that $Q(A) = 0$ on X . Such a minimal polynomial $P(t)$ is called the characteristic polynomial of A and is denoted by $P_A(t)$. The set of all algebraic operators in $L_0(X)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}(X)$.

Let $F = C$ and let S be an algebraic operator in $L_0(X)$ with the characteristic polynomial of the form

$$P_S(t) = t^N + p_1 t^{N-1} + \cdots + p_{N-1} t + p_N,$$

rem 5. Let S be an algebraic operator of order N in $L_0(X)$ with the characteristic polynomial of the form (9). Then $S \in R_1(X)$ if and only if $p_{N-1}^2 + p_N^2 \neq 0$.

Let $S \in R_1(X)$ and let $W \in R_S^1$. Suppose that $p_{N-1} = 0$ and $P_N = 0$. Since $P_S(S) = 0$ $^2W = S$, we have the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P_S(S)W = (S^{N-2} + p_1 S^{N-3} + \dots + p_{N-2} I) S^2 W \\ &= (S^{N-2} + p_1 S^{N-3} + \dots + p_{N-2} I) S \\ &= S^{N-1} + p_1 S^{N-2} + \dots + p_{N-2} S, \end{aligned}$$

contradicts the assumptions that S is of order N .

Conversely, if $P_N \neq 0$, then S is invertible and it is right invertible and GR -invertible, simultaneously. We deal with the case when $p_N = 0$ and $p_{N-1} \neq 0$, simultaneously.

Write:

$$W := p_{N-1}^{-2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-2} p_{N-2} p_k S^{N-k-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{N-3} p_{N-1} p_k S^{N-k-2} \right).$$

Now check that $W \in R_S^1$. We have the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} S W S &= p_{N-1}^{-2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-2} p_{N-2} p_k S^{N-k+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{N-3} p_{N-1} p_k S^{N-k} \right) \\ &= p_{N-1}^{-2} \left(p_{N-2} S \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} p_k S^{N-k} - p_{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-3} p_k S^{N-k} \right) \\ &= p_{N-1}^{-2} \left(p_{N-2} S (P_S(S) - p_{N-1} S) - p_{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-3} p_k S^{N-k} \right) \\ &= p_{N-1}^{-2} \left(-p_{N-1} p_{N-2} S^2 - p_{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-3} p_k S^{N-k} \right) \\ &= -p_{N-1}^{-1} (P_S(S) - p_{N-1} S) = S, \end{aligned}$$

$\in W(X)$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}_S$. On the other hand, we also have $SW = WS$, which gives $S^2W = S$. Proof is complete.

rem 6. Let $S \in \overset{\circ}{A}(X) \cap R_1(X)$. Then there is a unique $W \in R_S^{(1)}$.

Let S be of the form (9). By Theorem 5, from the assumptions, we have $p_N^2 + p_{N-1}^2 \neq 0$ there is $W \in R_S^{(1)}$. If $p_N \neq 0$, then S is invertible. Let $W \in R_S^{(1)}$ be arbitrary. Since $W = S$, we find $W = S^{-2} S^2 W = S^{-2} S = S^{-1}$. So that $W = S^{-1}$, i.e. S is uniquely defined. We now deal with the case $p_N = 0$ and $p_{N-1} \neq 0$, simultaneously. Write: $P(t) = p_1 t^{N-3} + \dots + p_{N-2}$. Then $S = -p_{N-1}^{-1} P(S) S^2$. Let $W \in R_S^{(1)}$ be arbitrary. We find $W = S W^2 = -p_{N-1}^{-1} P(S) S^2 W^2 = -p_{N-1}^{-1} (S^{N-2} + p_1 S^{N-3} + \dots + p_{N-3} S + p_{N-2} S W)$.

On the other hand, the equality $S^2 W = S$ follows $SW = -p_{N-1}^{-1} P(S) S$, which gives W of the form

$$W = -p_{N-1}^{-1} (S^{N-2} + p_1 S^{N-3} + \dots + p_{N-3} S + p_{N-2} P(S) S).$$

Thus, W is uniquely determined.

Corollary 2. Let $S \in R_1(X)$ and let $W \in R_S^{(1)}$. Then $S \in \overset{\circ}{A}(X)$ if and only if $W \in \overset{\circ}{A}(X)$.

Proof. Let $S \in \overset{\circ}{A}(X)$. Then by Theorem 6, W is uniquely determined as a polynomial in S coefficients in F . So that $W \in \overset{\circ}{A}(X)$ ([4]). Conversely, suppose that $W \in \overset{\circ}{A}(X)$ and $P_W t^M + a_1 t^{M-1} + \dots + a_m$. Then we find $S^{M+1} P_W(W) = 0$ and $S + a_1 S^2 + \dots + a_M S^{M+1}$ which gives $S \in \overset{\circ}{A}(X)$

REFERENCES

1. D. Przeworska-Rolewicz. Equations with transformed argument. An algebraic app. Amsterdam - Warszawa, 1973.
2. D. Przeworska-Rolewicz. Algebraic Analysis. PWN - Polish Scientific Publishers and Publishing Company, Warszawa - Dordrecht, 1988.
3. Nguyen Van Mau. Generalized Algebraic Elements and Linear Singular Integral Equations with Transformed Argument, Wydawnictwa Politechniki Warszawskiej, Warszawa, 1989.
4. Nguyen Van Mau. Boundary value problems and controllability of linear systems with invertible operators, Dissertationes Math. CCCXVI (1992).
5. P. M. Anselone and M. Z. Nashed. Perturbations of outer inverses, in Approximation Theory III, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
6. A. Bel-Israel and T. N. E. Greville. Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications, Interscience, New York, 1974.
7. S. S. Caradus. Generalized inverses and Operator Theory, Queen's Univ., Kingston, 1978.
8. M. z. Nashed (ed.). Generalized Inverses and Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

TẠP CHÍ KHOA HỌC ĐHQGHN, KHTN, t.XII, n° 1, 1996

ĐẶC TRƯNG ĐẠI SỐ CỦA TOÁN TỬ KHẢ NGHỊCH PHẢI SUY RỘNG TRONG KHÔNG GIAN TUYẾN TÍNH

Nguyễn Minh Tuấn

Đại học Khoa học tự nhiên - ĐHQGHN

Bài báo đề cập đến một lớp các toán tử khả nghịch phải suy rộng. Lớp các toán tử này gồm tất cả các toán tử khả nghịch phải, một số lớp toán tử quen biết trong giải tích như toán tử chiếu, toán tử vi phân, sai phân và một số dạng toán tử đại số. Các kết quả thu được tỏ rằng rất nhiều tính chất cơ bản của lý thuyết các toán tử đại số có thể mở rộng cho lớp toán tử khả nghịch phải suy rộng như các công thức khai triển Taylor, Taylor - Goncharow, công thức diễn nghiệm, ...