

VNU Journal of Science: Natural Sciences and Technology

Journal homepage: https://js.vnu.edu.vn/NST

The Selectivity of Tungstate-based Catalyst in Epoxidation of Modified and Non-modified Rubber Seed Oil

Nguyen Thi Thuy* , Nguyen Thanh Liem, Vu Minh Duc

Polymer Centre, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, 1 Dai Co Viet, Hanoi, Vietnam

Received 02 October 2018 Revised 20 November 2018; Accepted 04 December 2018

Abstract: The study investigated the selectivity of tungstate-based catalyst in the epoxidation of rubber seed oil and modified rubber seed oil by two methods: The titration method was performed according to the ASTM standard and the H-NMR method was based on the peaks of the three different standard groups. The catalyst selectivity of the epoxidation reaction of modified rubber seed oil was much higher than that of non-modified rubber seed oil for both methods. The average catalyst selectivity obtained by using H-NMR method in the modified rubber seed oil epoxidation reaction was equal to that of the titrimetric method, while the selectivity of the rubber seed oil epoxidation reaction was 11% lower than that of the titrimetric method. For both types of oil, the difference between the catalytic selectivity obtained by using the titration method and by using protons in group $α$ -CH₂-(C=O)-O- as the standard peak, was the smallest and when the proton in group -CH³ was used as the standard peak, the difference in selectivity was the biggest.

Keywords: Selectivity, tungstate-based catalyst, H-NMR.

1. Introduction

Vegetable oils are environmentally friendly, biodegradable, relatively low cost, and readily available. The possible use of this resource as a substitute for petrochemical derivatives has attracted the attention of many researchers. Because of the rich in the unsaturated

Email: thuy.nguyenthi1@hust.edu.vn

linkages of their composition's structure, they may be used to introduce functional groups such as epoxides [1].

Epoxidation occurs when a cyclic ether is formed at sites of ethylenic unsaturation (C=C) located along the fatty acid chains by the addition of an oxygen atom [2]. There are many epoxidation methods such as: epoxidation by conventional method, by using acid ion exchange resin (AIER), enzymes, and metal catalyst… [3].

Corresponding author. Tel.: 84-904505335.

https://doi.org/10.25073/2588-1140/vnunst.4801

In conventional method, the presence of strong mineral inorganic acid as acid catalyst leads to many side reactions such as epoxy ring opening to appear diols, hydroxyl esters… [3, 4]. While AIER method has prominent advantages over conventional one by improving the selectivity and undesirable side reactions can be reduced to a certain level [3, 5]. To avoid side reactions and make the process more environmentally friendly, enzyme catalyst is preferred but the main limitation of this is the low stability of the lipase under reaction conditions [3, 6]. Recent studies have attempted to improve the efficiency of epoxidation under milder conditions that minimize the formation of byproducts [7]. Various metals such as titanium, molybdenum, tungsten is used as metal catalyst [3, 8, 9].

In Vietnam, epoxidation reaction of vegetable oil using molybdenum-based catalyst was also investigated by Phan Van Ninh [10]. The scientists in Polymer center of Ha noi University of Science and Technology have carried out a series of the epoxidation reactions by using tungstate-based catalyst [11-15]. The activity of this catalyst has been evaluated with soybean oil [11-13] and sunflower oil [14,15] and the results showed that high catalytic selectivity due to minimizing side reaction is a prominent feature of this catalyst. In this work, the selectivity of this catalyst in epoxidation reaction of modified and non-modified rubber seed oil was studied by using two different methods: H-NMR and titration. To our knowledge, studying the selectivity of the epoxidation catalyst by using H-NMR method has not been previously reported for the vegetable oil.

2. Experiment

2.1. Materials

Wijs solution was purchased from Merck, Germany. Hydrogen bromide solution (33 wt.%) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Sulfuric acid (98 wt.%) and glacial acetic acid were obtained from Xilong Chemical, China. Rubber seeds were collected in Long Khanh, Dong Nai, after 3 months to dry were pressed in EC company in 364/1 Cong Hoa, Tan Binh. The esterified-rubber seed oil (RSOE) with the acid value of 1.12 mgKOH/g was obtained from rubber seed oil with the acid value of 39.03 mgKOH/g (RSO) [16] in Polymer Center of Hanoi University of Science and Technology.

Table 1. The composition of fatty acids [16] and average molecule weight of fatty acids, triglyceride, rubber seed oil, and diglyceride

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Epoxidation procedure

The reaction is performed in a 500 ml four neck flask equipped with a stirrer, thermometer and reflux cooler., The RSO or RSOE, oxidant of H_2O_2 and tungstate-based catalyst were added to this flask. After charging, the reaction continued by mixing at 60° C for hour. After that, the mixture was cooled down, neutralized by water, and purified. The final product was dried out by heating about 60° C in a vacuum oven until constant weight.

2.2.2. Analytical techniques

The iodine value and the oxygen-oxirane content were determined according to standard ASTM D1652 and D5768, respectively. The nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic

analysis was performed on the Bruker Advance 500 (USA) with solution of CDCl3.

3. Results

ERSO and ERSOE were the products of epoxidation reaction of RSO and RSOE, respectively. These products were titrated with solution of hydrogen bromide and solution of sodium thiosulfate to have the oxygen-oxirane content and the iodine value.

It was easy to calculate the theoretic oxygen-oxirane content (O_{th}) of RSO and RSOE (8.475%) when the iodine value of them was $146.9 \text{ cgI}_2/\text{g}$. The yield of the epoxidation (Y) was calculated by ratio between the oxygen-oxirane content of epoxidized oils (O_x) and theoretic oxygen-oxirane content.

$$
Yield \, (\%) = \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_{th}} \tag{1}
$$

The conversion of the epoxidation (C) was calculated by the following formula:

$$
Conversion (%) = \frac{CI_{\text{max}} - CI}{CI_{\text{max}}} \times 100
$$
 (2)

CI*: iodine value of epoxidized oil*

CImax*: iodine value of oil*

Selectivity of catalyst was a ratio between yield and conversion.

$$
S = \frac{Y}{C}
$$
 (3)

The selectivity of catalyst in two epoxidation reactions of RSO and RSOE were showed in table 2.

Table 2. The selectivity of tungstate-based catalyst

		Selectivity			
Proton in group		ERSO	ERSOE		
Standard	$-CH3$	0.70 ^{-14.6%}	$0.99^{+5.3\%}$		
	α -CH ₂ -(C=O)-O-	$0.78 - 4.9%$	$0.93 - 1.1\%$		
	β -CH ₂ -CH ₂ -(C=O)-O-	$0.71 - 13.4\%$	$0.91 - 3.2\%$		
Average selectivity by NMR method		$0.73 - 11\%$	0.94		
	Titration method	0.82	0.94		

On the other hand, these products were also analyzed in other method by using proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. The spectra and their assignment were presented in fig.1 and table 3.

The peak h at 0.8-1.0 ppm related to proton of the terminal -CH³ group, peak g at 1.2-1.4 ppm corresponded with proton of $-CH_{2}$ - group, peak j at 1.6 ppm characterized proton of β- $CH₂(C=O)O$ - group and peak d at 2.3 ppm stood for proton of α -CH₂-(C=O)O- group. All of them were present at the H-NMR-spectrum of oils (RSO, RSOE) and epoxidized oils (ERSO, ERSOE) and most of them did not change in the modified process RSO to ERSO and RSOE to ERSOE, but peak g at 1.2-1.4 ppm was an exception because the proton of - CH= group in oils would be changed into proton of -CH2- group in the epoxidized oils and it would contribute to peak g. The peak h, j and d were used as standard peaks to determine the yield and conversion of the epoxidation reaction [17, 18] and the selectivity of tungstate-based catalyst was calculated.

Figure 1. ¹H-NMR spectra of RSOE and ERSOE (a), RSO and ERSO (b).

	ppm		Area			
Proton			RSO	ERSO	RSOE	ERSOE
$-CH3$	$0.8 - 1$	h	12.096	13.383	11.146	7.652
$-CH_2$ _n -	$1.2 - 1.4$	g	67.644	62.379	61.736	37.116
$-CH_2$ -epoxy-CH ₂ -epoxy-CH ₂ -	$1.4 - 1.5$	j	$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$	20.283	$\overline{}$	14.982
β -CH ₂ -CH ₂ -(C=O)O-	1.6	$\mathbf f$	8.731	9.980	8.154	5.928
-epoxy-CH ₂ -epoxy-	1.7	k		4.500		3.620
$-CH2-CH=CH-$	2.0	e	13.204	\sim	12.012	
α -CH ₂ -(C=O)O-	2.3	d	7.977	8.341	7.469	5.316
-CH=CH-CH ₂ -CH=CH-	$2.7 - 2.8$	\mathbf{C}	5.754		5.281	
$-epoxy-$	$2.9 - 3.2$	$\mathbf n$		9.629		7.447
$HO-CH2-CH-O-C(O)$ - $CH2-O-C(O)$ -	3.7	\mathbf{i}			3.078	2.083
$-CH2-CH-CH2$	$4.1 - 4.3$	$\mathbf b$	3.936	4.73	3.564	2.290
$-CH2-CH-CH2$	$5.2 - 5.3$	m^*	1	1	$\mathbf{1}$	0.379
$-CH=CH-$	$5.3 - 5.4$	a^*	11.789		10.729	

Table 3. Assignment of the H-NMR spectra of oil and epoxidized oils

** The glycerol methine protons and the protons of double bonds maybe had a coincidence*

The formula (4) and (6), U and E_p were the number of double bonds and the epoxy group in one triglyceride. The p in formula (2) was the number of protons per triglyceride molecule that caused the resulting peaks. So, it was 9 or 6 (table 4). Aproton was the area per proton per molecule and $A_{2,9-3,2}$ was the area of peak n. $A_{5,3-5,4}$ were the sum of area of peak a and m [18].

$$
U = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A_{5.3-5.4ppm}}{A_{proton}} - 1 \right)
$$
 (4)

$$
A_{proton} = \frac{A_{chu\land n}}{p} \tag{5}
$$

$$
E_p = \frac{A_{2.9-3.2ppm}}{2. A_{proton}} \tag{6}
$$

It was easy to calculate average molecular weight of fatty acids (279.171 g/mol) and of triglycerides (875.514 g/mol) in RSO from the fatty acid compositions of RSO in table 1. The molecular ratio of free fatty acids and triglycerides in RSO (0.4310/0.5690) was calculated from two average molecular weights above and acid value of RSO.

In the modification of RSO, the free fatty acids were converted into free fatty esters. Moreover, some of triglyceride molecules also were converted into diglyceride ones and some new free fatty esters were formed [17, 19]. It is easy to recognize by the peak at 3.7 ppm for the methylene proton in glycerol backbone adjacent to hydroxyl group (fig 1a).

The area ratio between peak b and peak m in RSO was 3.936 and in RSOE was 3.564 (table 3), so it could be calculated the molecular ratio between the triglyceride and diglyceride in RSOE of 0.8079/0.1921. The number of diglyceride molecules were equal to the number of the new formed free fatty esters ones, so the molecular ratio of total free fatty esters/triglyceride/diglyceride in RSOE was 0.4871/0.4144/0.0985.

In the RSO and RSOE cases, there were a lot of free fatty acids in RSO or free fatty esters in RSOE, so the p was the number of protons per standard group per the molecular mixer. Where, the molecular mixer of RSO consisted 0.4310 free fatty acid molecule and 0.5690 triglyceride molecule and the molecular mixer of RSOE included 0.4871 free fatty ester molecule, 0.4144 triglyceride molecule and 0.0985 diglyceride molecule. The number of protons p per standard groups was showed in table 4.

	Proton (p)					
Group	FFa or FFe	Tri	Di	RSO or ERSO	RSOE or ERSOE	
CH ₃	3	9	6	6.4137	5.7817	
α -CH ₂ -(CO)O-	2	6	$\overline{4}$	4.2758	3.8545	
β -CH ₂ CH ₂ -(CO)O-	2	6	$\overline{4}$	4.2758	3.8545	

Table 4. The number of protons per standard group of a molecule

FFa: The free fatty acid, FFe: The free fatty ester

The number of double bonds in the oils $(RSO \text{ or } RSOE)$ (U_o) and in the epoxidized oils (ERSO or ERSOE) (U) and the number of epoxy groups per molecular mixer were calculated by using formulas (1) , (2) , (3) and the area of peaks in table 3 as well as the numbers of proton (p) in table 4. The yield and conversion of the epoxidation reaction and selectivity of catalyst were calculated by using formulas (7), (8) and (9). The results were indicated in table 2.

$$
Y(\%) = 100 \times \frac{E_p}{U_o}
$$
 (7)

CI (%) =
$$
100 \times \frac{U_0 - U}{U_0}
$$
 (8)

$$
S = \frac{Y}{CI} \tag{9}
$$

As can be seen from table 2, the selectivity of catalyst in epoxidation reaction of RSOE (0.94) was much higher than that of RSO (0.82). It might be due to the lower acid value of the RSOE. With the same tungstate-based catalyst, and the acid value of oil was lower, the selectivity in the RSOE epoxidation reaction was higher than that in sunflower oil (0.87 or 0.91) [15] and almost the same in soybean oil (0.94) [13], sunflower oil (0.93) and lower than that in soybean oil (0.96) [12].

The catalytic selectivity obtained by using titration method was different to H-NMR method. Moreover, the selectivity of catalyst obtained by using the different standard peaks was not similar.

The errors between the catalytic selectivity obtained by using titration method and by using protons in group α -CH₂-(C=O)-O- as the standard peak were the smallest. They were 4.9% with RSO and 1.1% with RSOE. The errors were the biggest (14.6% with RSO and 5.3% with RSOE) when the protons in group - CH³ was used as the standard peak.

The average selectivity of catalyst obtained by NMR method of RSO and RSOE epoxidation reaction was 0.73 and 0.94, respectively. The errors of the catalytic selectivity obtained by using titration and H-NMR method in epoxidation reaction of RSO were 11% while the catalyst selectivity in epoxidation reaction of RSOE was the same with the two methods.

4. Conclusion

The selectivity of tungstate-based catalyst in the epoxidation reaction of rubber seed oil and modified rubber seed oil was studied in two ways. There were many side reactions occurred in the epoxidation because of high acid value of rubber seed oil, so the selectivity of tungstatebased catalyst in this reaction was much smaller than that in the reaction of modified rubber seed oil. The selectivity of tungstate-based catalyst of the epoxidation reaction of modified rubber seed oil was almost equal to that of other low acid value oils such as soybean oil, sunflower oil.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Polymer & Composite of Key-Laboratory, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Project B2018-BKA-64 and I would like to thank Miss Nguyen Thi Hang, Miss Nguyen Thi Yen, and Miss Nguyen Thuy Hai for their assistant in this project.

References

- [1] Vaibhav V. Goud, Narayan C. Pradhan and Anand V. Patwardhan, Epoxidation of Karanja (Pongamia glabra) Oil by H_2O_2 , Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society 83(7) 2006, 635- 640
- [2] Harshal Patil, Jyotsna Waghmare, Catalyst for epoxidation of oils: a review, Discovery 3(7) (2013) 10-14
- [3] Tayde Saurabh, Patnaik M., Bhagt SL., Renge V.C, Epoxidation of vegetable oils: a review, International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology, II(IV) (2011) 491-501
- [4] Lathi P and Mattiasson, B., Green approach for the preparation of biodegradable lubricant base stock from epoxidized vegetable oil, Applied Catalyst B: Environmental 69 (2007) 207-219
- [5] Petrovic, ZS Zlatanic, A Lava, CC and Sinadinovic-Fiser, S., Epoxidation of soybean oil in toluene with peroxy acetic and peroxy formic acids-kinetics and side reactions, European Jouranl of Lipid Science and Technology, 104(5) (2002) 293-299
- [6] Tornvall, U., C. Orellana-Coca. R. Hatti-kaul and D Adlercreutz, Stability of immobilized Candida antarctica lipase B during chemo-enzymatic epoxidation of fatty acids, Enzyme Microbial Technology 40 (2007) 447-451
- [7] Bashar Mudhaffar Abdullah and Jumat Salimon, Epoxidation of Vegetable Oil and Fatty Acids: Catalysts, Method and Advantages, Jouranl of Applied Science 10(5) (2010) 1545-1553
- [8] A. Campanella, M.A. Baltanas, M.C. Capel-Sanchew, J.M. Campos-Martin and J.L.G. Fierro, Soybean oil epoxidation with hydrogen peroxide using an amorphous $Ti/SiO₂$ catalyst, Green Chemistry 6 (2004) 330-334
- [9] Mohamed Tahar Benaniba, Naima Belhaneche-Bensemra, Georges Gelbard, Kinetic of Tungstencatalyzed Sunflower Oil Epoxidation Studied by ¹H NMR, European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology, 109 (2007) 1186 -1193
- [10] Do Huy Thanh, Tran Cong Khanh, Phan Van Ninh, Epoxidation of rubber seed oil by hydroperoxide with ammonium molybdenum catalyst, Vietnam Journal of Chemistry, 1 (2001) 99-106
- [11] Nguyen Thi Thuy, Vu Minh Duc, Phan Ngoc Quy, Nguyen Thanh Liem, Metal-based catalyst for epoxidation of soybean oil, Vietnam Journal of Chemistry, 53(4) (2015) 515-519
- [12] Nguyen Thi Thuy, Vu Minh Duc, Phan Ngoc Quy, Effect of components in tungsten-based catalyst system on the epoxidation reaction of soybean oil, VUN journal of Science: Natural Sciences and Technology, 33(1), (2017) 81-87
- [13] Nguyen Thi Thuy, Vu Minh Duc, Nguyen Thanh Liem, Thermodynamics study of epoxidation of soy bean oil by using tungstate-based catalyst, VUN journal of Science: Natural Sciences and Technology, 32(1), (2016) 86-93
- [14] Nguyen Thi Thuy, Vu Minh Duc, Epoxidation of sunflower oil, Vietnam Journal of Chemistry, 54(1), (2016) 38-42
- [15] Nguyen Thi Thuy, Vu Minh Duc, Michiel Vrijsen, Nguyen Thanh Liem, Investigation of the impact of the reaction conditions on the epoxidation of refined sunflower oil using a sodium tungstate dihydrate catalyst, Vietnam Journal of Chemistry, 7th National Conference on Chemistry 53(6e), (2015) 29-33
- [16] Nguyen Thi Thuy, Vu Minh Duc, Nguyen Thanh Liem, Investigation on acid value reduction of Vietnam crude rubber seed oil for a green material in organic synthesis, Journal of Science &

Technology Technical Universities, 114 (2016) 108-112

- [17] P. Saithai, J. Lecomete, E. Dubreucp, V. Tanrattanakul, Effect of Different Epoxidation Methods of Soybean Oil on the Characteristics of
Acrylated Epoxidized Soybean Oil-co-Acrylated Epoxidized Soybean Oil-copoly(methyl methacrylate) Copolymer, eXPRESS Polymer Letters, 7(11), (2013) 910-924
- [18] Alejandrina Campanella, John J. La Scala, R. P. Wool, Fatty Acid-Based Comonomers as Styrene

Replacements in Soybean and Castor Oil-Based Thermosetting Polymers, Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 119, (2011) 1000-1010

[19] Nguyen Thi Thuy, Vu Minh Duc, Nguyen Thanh Liem, Investigation of the changes in properties and chemical compositions of Vietnam crude rubber seed oil during deacidification treatment, Journal of Science & Technology Technical Universities, 115 (2016) 128-132

Nghiên cứu độ chọn lọc của xúc tác trên cơ sở muối vônfram trong phản ứng epoxy hóa dầu hạt cao su và dầu hạt cao su biến tính

Nguyễn Thị Thủy, Nguyễn Thanh Liêm, Vũ Minh Đức

Trung tâm Nghiên cứu vật liệu polyme, Trường Đại học Bách khoa Hà Nội

Tóm tắt: Độ chọn lọc xúc tác trên cơ sở muối vônfram trong phản ứng epoxy hóa dầu hạt cao su và dầu hạt cao su biến tính đã được nghiên cứu theo hai phương pháp. Phương pháp chuẩn độ được thực hiện theo tiêu chuẩn ASTM và phương pháp H-NMR dựa trên pic hấp thụ của ba nhóm chuẩn khác nhau. Độ chọn lọc xúc tác trong phản ứng epoxy hóa dầu hạt cao su biến tính cao hơn nhiều so với trong phản ứng epoxy hóa dầu hạt cao su không biến tính. Độ chọn lọc xúc tác trung bình tính theo phương pháp H-NMR với phản ứng epoxy hóa dầu hạt cao su biến tính thì ngang bằng còn với phản ứng epoxy hóa dầu hạt cao su thì thấp hơn 11% so với tính theo phương pháp chuẩn độ. Với cả hai loại dầu, độ chọn lọc xúc tác tính theo H-NMR khi sử dụng pic hấp phu của nhóm chuẩn α-C**H2**- $(C=O)O$ - có độ sai lệch nhỏ nhất còn của nhóm chuẩn -CH₃ có độ sai lệch lớn nhất so với tính theo phương pháp chuẩn độ.

Từ khóa: Độ chọn lọc xúc tác, phổ cộng hưởng từ hạt nhân, xúc tác trên cơ sở muối vônfram.